

103130

D.C. 699

THE OPTIMIZATION OF HEAT-INSULATION SOLUTIONS FOR SHUTTING-OFF AND DIVIDING THE LOW-TEMPERATURE FACILITIES

BY

MARINA VERDEȘ, VASILICĂ CIOCAN,
ADRIAN ȘERBĂNOIU and SORIN THEODORU

A method concerning the optimization of heat insulation solutions for shutting-off the low temperature facilities is proposed and studied.

1. Introduction

Any human activity is subject to reaching previously set goals with the least human, financial, etc., effort.

When it comes to building design, regardless of their nature and utility, the main objective is to minimize the direct expenses in parallel with meeting the quality demands: durability and stability, safety in exploitation, fire protection, hygiene, health, renewal and protection of environment, heat insulation and energy saving.

To particularize, the main objective in shutting-off and dividing spacing the low-temperature facilities is to generate those construction designs that would best meet the operating requirements of such a facility in the circumstances of minimum real costs.

2. Optimization Criteria of Heat Insulation Solutions

In order to enhance the thermal resistance of a building block, which is the safe means to reduce the waste of heat, two aspects must be considered:

- a) the careful choice of heat insulating material, with lowest values possible of conduction of heat and the correct spacing of these layers;
- b) the adequate determination of the optimal thickness of heat insulation.

Therefore the composing multilayer structures emerged as consequence of calculations have to be efficient from the economic viewpoint as well. To this end following further studies, it has been concluded that the spacing of the optimal thickness of heat insulation of the thermal permeability, lead to economically optimal solutions for the building block as a whole.

The specialized literature indicates calculation relations regarding the optimization of the heat insulation for shut-off devices of the buildings equipped with heat installations, relations which, due to their manner of approaching the covering parameters, cannot be applied to the calculation optimization for these heat-insulating layers of heat-control rooms.

To conclude, as a result of the fact that the literature does not offer correct solutions but only relative and incomplete methods in the case of the optimization of heat insulation, the declared purpose of this paper is to determine an appropriate calculation procedure.

Under these circumstances, we propose to discuss, in a original way, the optimization of the heat-insulating thickness for shut-off and spacing devices of inside temperature rooms below 10°C, fitted out with freezing plants that produce cooling (refrigeration) or the freezing of products down to -40°C.

The optimization of the heat-insulating thickness consists, in principle, in minimizing the total yearly expenses, made up of investment costs and exploitation costs, referred to 1 m² of heat insulation.

3. The Heat Intake *via* Limiting Devices (Opaque to Solar Radiation) of a Cooling Room

Let be a cooling room which has opaque shut-off and spacing devices; the heat flow input can be calculated with relation:

$$(1) Q_f = Q_E + Q_I = \sum_{j=1}^n S_j [k_E(T_{sm} - t_j) + \eta\alpha_j(t_s - t_{sm})] + \sum_{i=1}^n S_i k_I(t_{am} - t_i), \quad [W],$$

where: Q_E and Q_I are the heat intake *via* external devices and inner ones, respectively, [W]; $j = 1, i = 1 \dots n$ - the number of structural parts (inner and external) with temperature delay; S_j, S_i - the surface of the structural part considered, [m²]; k_E, k_I - the overall coefficient of heat-transfer pertaining to the part, [W/m².°C]; t_s - the external equivalent calculation temperature (the temperature of sunny weather), [°C]; t_{sm} - the average external equivalent calculation temperature (the average temperature of sunny weather), [°C]; t_i - the inside calculation temperature for a cooling room, [°C]; t_{am} - the inside temperature of adjoining rooms, [°C]; $\nu = 1/\eta$ - damping factor representing the ratio between the oscillation amplitude of the equivalent calculation temperature of the external face of the shut-off device (wall, terrace) and the oscillation amplitude of temperature in the internal face of device, [°C]; α_i - the inside heat transfer coefficient, [W/m².°C].

4. The Necessary Annual Expenses to Exploit the Freezing Plant

The annual expenses are thought for 1 m² of shut-off device and 1 m² of heat insulation respectively, and have in view the average energy expenditure. This expenditure for an external part characterized by the number of degree-days of cooling,

is defined as:

$$(2) \quad G_r = (t_{sm} - t_i)N, \quad [^\circ\text{C}\cdot\text{s}].$$

where: t_{sm} and t_i have the above meanings; N – the number of cooling days, [s], (during a year).

There are also considered: i_{ex} – the utilization factor (which brings the necessary correction depending on the actual conditions of utilization); c_{ex} – the specific exploitation/utilization cost, [lei/W.s].

Therefore the relations regarding the freezing plant utilization are: a) for external parts:

$$(3) \quad C_{ex,e} = \frac{(t_{sm} - t_i)N i_{ex} c_{ex}}{R_{iz}} = \frac{G_r i_{ex} c_{ex}}{R_{iz}}, \quad [\text{lei}/\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{year}].$$

where R_{iz} is the resistance to thermal/heat permeability of the heat insulating layer, [$\text{m}^2 \cdot ^\circ\text{C}/\text{W}$];

b) for inner parts:

$$(4) \quad C_{ex,i} = \frac{(t_{am} - t_i)N i_{ex} c_{ex}}{R_{iz}}, \quad [\text{lei}/\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{year}].$$

where t_{am} is the adjoining room inside temperature in case of inner parts: walls, ceilings, [$^\circ\text{C}$], with $t_{am} > t_i$.

5. The Annual Expenses Regarding the Investment in the Freezing Plant

The annual expenses regarding the investment in the freezing plant are the following:

a) for external parts:

$$(5) \quad A_{i,e} = \frac{(t_s - t_i) i_{ex} r_i}{R_{iz}}, \quad [\text{lei}/\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{year}];$$

b) for inner parts:

$$(6) \quad A_{i,e} = \frac{(t_{am} - t_i) i_{ex} r_i}{R_{iz}}, \quad [\text{lei}/\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{year}].$$

where: c_i is the specific cost of investment regarding the freezing plant, [lei/W]; r_i – the capital recovery factor depending on the average annual interest rate and on the freezing plant redemption as well as on the repair expenses.

6. The Annual Expenses (Annuity) Regarding the Investment in Heat Insulation

The annuity, A_c , related to 1 m^2 of shut-off device has the expression:

$$(7) \quad A_{iz} = d_{iz} r_{iz} c_{iz} = r_{iz} c_{iz} \lambda_{iz} R_{iz}, \quad [\text{lei}/\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{year}].$$

where: d_{iz} is the thickness of the heat-insulation, [m]; r_{iz} – the capital recovery factor depending on the average annual interest and on the wested capital redemption for heat insulation as well as on the repair expenses; c_{iz} – the specific cost of heat insulation, [lei/m³].

7. The Determination of the Economically Optimal Resistance to Heat Permeability and of the Optimal Thickness of the Insulating Layer

The annual expenses referring to 1 m² of heat insulation are calculated with relation:

$$(8) \quad C_{t,a} = C_{ex} + A_i + A_{iz}, \quad [\text{lei/m}^2 \cdot \text{year}],$$

where: C_{ext} is the necessary annual costs to utilize the freezing plant, [lei/m²·year]; A_i – the annuity regarding the investment and the repairs of the freezing plant, [lei/m²·year]; A_{iz} – the annuity regarding the heat insulation, [lei/m²·year].

By adding relations (3), (5), (7) in relation (8) il result:

$$(9) \quad C_{i,o} = \frac{(t_{sm} - t_i) N i_{ex} c_{ex}}{R_{iz}} + \frac{(t_s - t_i) r_i c_i}{R_{iz}} + \lambda_{iz} r_{iz} c_{iz} R_{iz}, \quad [\text{lei/m}^2 \cdot \text{year}].$$

By replacing $R_{iz} = d_{iz}/\lambda_{iz}$ into relation (9), it can be seen that, in the case of the first and second term, if d_{iz} tends from zero to infinity, the terms tend from infinity to zero, while the third term will rise from zero to infinity.

So, it follows that the overall annual costs referring to 1 m² of structural part of heat insulation, admit a minimum for a specific value of the tickness of the heat insulating layer, value that constitutes the economically optimal thickness.

This minimum occurs if the partial derivative of the function $C_{t,a}$ from relation (9) w.r.t R_{iz} or d_{iz} becomes zero:

$$(10) \quad \frac{\partial C_{t,a}}{\partial R_{iz}} = \frac{(t_{sm} - t_i) N i_{ex} c_{ex}}{R_{iz}^2} - \frac{(t_s - t_i) r_i c_i}{R_{iz}^2} + \lambda_{iz} r_{iz} c_{iz} = 0.$$

It may be proved, at the same time, that the second derivative of $C_{t,a}$ w.r.t R_{iz} or d_{iz} is positive for $d_{iz} = d_{iz}^{opt}$, which confirm that, for this value, $C_{t,a}$ is minimal.

By solving relation (10) it is obtained the resistance to heat permeability of the heat insulating layer being part of shut-off device:

a) for external structural members (walls, ceiling-roofs):

$$(11) \quad R_{iz}^{opt} = \sqrt{\frac{(t_s - t_i) r_i c_i + (t_{sm} - t_i) N i_{ex} c_{ex}}{r_{iz} \lambda_{iz} c_{iz}}}, \quad [\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{°C/W}];$$

b) for internal structural members (walls, ceilings, non-heated floors):

$$(12) \quad R_{iz}^{opt} = \sqrt{\frac{(t_{am} - t_i) r_i c_i + (t_{am} - t_i) N i_{ex} c_{ex}}{r_{iz} \lambda_{iz} c_{iz}}}, \quad [\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{°C/W}].$$

The economically optimal values of thickness resulted for the cases in discussion, are:

c) for external structural parts (walls, ceilings roofs):

$$(13) \quad d_{iz}^{opt} = \sqrt{\frac{(t_s - t_i)r_i c_i + G_r i_{ex} c_{ex}}{r_{iz} \lambda_{iz} c_{iz}}} \lambda_{iz}, \quad [m];$$

d) for internal structural parts (walls, ceiling non- heated floors):

$$(14) \quad d_{iz}^{opt} = \sqrt{\frac{(t_s - t_i)r_i c_i + (t_{sm} - t_i)N i_{ex} c_{ex}}{r_{iz} \lambda_{iz} c_{iz}}} \lambda_{iz}, \quad [m].$$

8. The Overall Minimum Annual Cost for 1 m² of Heat Insulation, Shut-off Device

The overall minimum annual cost for 1 m² of heat insulation is calculated with the following relations, depending on the shut-off device:

a) for external parts (walls, ceilings-roofs):

$$(15) \quad C_{t,a}^{min} = \frac{(t_s - t_i)r_i c_i}{R_{iz}^{opt}} + \frac{G_r i_{ex} c_{ex}}{R_{iz}^{opt}} + \lambda_{iz} r_{iz} c_{iz} R_{iz}^{opt}, \quad [lei/m^2 \cdot year];$$

b) for internal parts (walls, ceilings, non-heated floors):

$$(16) \quad C_{t,a}^{min} = \frac{(t_{am} - t_i)r_i c_i}{R_{iz}^{opt}} + \frac{(t_{am} - t_i)N i_{ex} c_{ex}}{R_{iz}^{opt}} + \lambda_{iz} r_{iz} c_{iz} R_{iz}^{opt}, \quad [lei/m^2 \cdot year].$$

The overall minimum annual cost for 1 m² of shut-off device with optimal thickness of heat insulation may be calculated with relation:

$$(17) \quad C_g^{min} = C_{t,a}^{min} + C_b, \quad [lei/m^2 \cdot year],$$

where C_s is the minimum annual cost of the basic structure that results from calculations.

In the basic structure there are included all the layers that constitute the shut-off device, including the heat insulation.

Received, December 14, 2003

Revised, May 15, 2004

Technical University "Gh. Asachi", Jassy,
Department of Building
Equipment Engineering

REFERENCES

1. Verdeș M., *Soluții eficiente de izolații termice pentru spații cu temperaturi scăzute*. Ph. D. Diss., Techn. Univ. "Gh. Asachi", Jassy, 1997.

OPTIMIZAREA IZOLAȚIILOR TERMICE PENTRU ELEMENTELE DE ÎNCHIDERE ALE SPAȚIILOR CU TEMPERATURI SCĂZUTE

(Rezumat)

Termoizolația din structura multistrat a elementelor de închidere și compartimentare ale spațiilor cu temperaturi scăzute, constituie o componentă foarte importantă, care trebuie dimensionată nu doar pe criterii tehnice ci și pe criterii economice, întrucât acestea au implicații deosebite în calculul costului instalației frigorifice care se dimensionează pe baza aporturilor de caldură din exterior precum și a costului exploatarei care reprezintă consumul energetic necesar obținerii și menținerii temperaturilor scăzute în spațiile cu regim termic controlat.

Se sintetizează rezultatele unor cercetări privind optimizarea grosimii izolației termice din structura elementelor de închidere și compartimentare a spațiilor cu temperaturi scăzute.