BULETINUL INSTITUTULUI POLITEHNIC DIN IAȘI Publicat de Universitatea Tehnică "Gheorghe Asachi" din Iași Volumul 63 (67), Numărul 1, 2017 Secția CONSTRUCȚII. ARHITECTURĂ

REAL VALUE ESTIMATION FOR REINFORCED CONCRETE BUILDINGS

BY

ADRIAN-ALEXANDRU ŞERBĂNOIU^{*} and ANDREI GAZEA

"Gheorghe Asachi" Technical University of Iaşi, Faculty of Civil Engineering and Building Services

Received: March 2, 2017 Accepted for publication: March 31, 2017

Abstract. The building activity has as final result a product in the form of construction. Currently there are several classifications of the buildings beginning from the general classification of buildings and civil engineering, civil buildings, industrial buildings, farm buildings, also in relation to the deformability of the outside action there are buildings with rigid structure, buildings with flexible structure, buildings structurally semi-flexible. In order of importance there are three types of civil buildings: civil buildings of high importance, civil buildings of medium importance, buildings of minor importance. Also we can classify buildings after constitutive elements and materials from which they are made of: the resistance structure, closing elements, elements of subdivision finishing elements. All these exposed classifications should lead naturally to estimate the market value of buildings on poorly regulated real estate market and left at the mercy of evaluators who are not civil engineers.

Keywords: construction management; cost estimation; concrete.

1. Introduction

In Romania currently the evaluation of residential buildings is regulated by Government Ordinance No. 24/2011 that founded the liberal profession of

^{*}Corresponding author: *e-mail:* serbanoiualex@yahoo.com

estate appraiser. According to current regulations and standards assessment, the evaluation of buildings is made by the following processes:

✓ The market approach - effectively compares identical assets subjected to correction,

 \checkmark The income approach - takes into account the revenue that an asset will generate over its life,

 \checkmark The cost approach - according to which a comparator will not pay more for an asset than the cost of buying or building a modern equivalent taking into account the type of wear due to the passage of time.

In the estimation of costs one can use three methods:

- *The method of uniform comparisons*. It is used to determine the cost per area unit or per volume unit (in absolute amount). The method relies on known costs of similar structures, adjusted for market conditions for physical differences.
- *The segregated cost method*. The method consists of a procedure for estimating construction costs, the total cost of construction is estimated by summing up the unit costs of different elements of construction.
- *The estimates method*. The most accurate method of estimating the cost reflects the quantity and quality of all used materials and all categories of labor.

2. Presentation of the Methodology for Estimating the Value of a Building

So that the real estate assessments are correct, the evaluators should have access to "guarantee certificate" of each building through which to inform the property condition of the valuation date. The property condition at the date of the evaluation depends on the analysis of several factors such as (Table 1. Property value according to criteria proposed analysis):

a) the design documentation;

b) the execution documentation;

c) the documentation regarding the reception;

d) the documentation regarding the operation, maintenance, repair and follow up of the construction;

e) the age of the building and remaining life;

f) the sustainability of the structural frame of the building;

g) the energy certification of the building;

h) the existence of that real estate cadastre;

i) the compliance with building permit.

Table 1

Property Value According to Criteria Proposed Analysis

Troperty value According to Chierta Troposed Maiysis								
No	Certification of the building	The percentage of						
	Criteria for analysis of real estate property	achievement						
		criterion						
1	The construction book with all the chapters							
1.1	The design documentation	P _{1.1} =%						
1.2	The execution documentation	P _{1.2} =%						
1.3	The documentation regarding the reception	P _{1.3} =%						
1.4	The documentation regarding the operation,	P _{1.4} =%						
	maintenance, repair and follow up of the construction							
		Σ Ρ _{1.1} =%						
2	The age of the building and remaining life	P ₂ =%						
3	The sustainability of the structural frame of the	P ₃ =%						
	building							
	- concrete, metal, wood							
4	The energy certification of the building	P ₄ =%						
5	The existence of that real estate cadastre	P ₅ =%						
6	The compliance with the building permit	P ₆ =%						
	TOTAL	$\Sigma P_i = \%$						

The global environment wear for housing construction, taking into account the normal wear for each element of the system - building for housing - will be:

$$V_{\text{Finala}} = \frac{\sum p_i}{n_i} \Big(V_{\text{imobil}} - V_{\text{imobil}} G_{fn} \Big), \tag{1}$$

where: $\sum p_i$ is the rate of completion of the criterion; n_i – the number of analyzed criterion; V_{imobil} – property value determined by the cost method.

According to Norm P135/1995 one can appreciate the following relationship where V_{ef} has an intermediate value between the values that we find regulatory analyzed:

$$G_{fn} = G_{un1} + \frac{G_{un2} - G_{un1}}{V_2 - V_1} (V_{ef} - V_1),$$
(2)

where: G_{fn} is the wear of the building, [%]; G_{un1} – the degree of impairment to normal physical age V_1 ; G_{un2} – the physical degree of impairment is normal for age V_2 ; V_{ef} – the actual age of the building.

86

3. The Analysis of Calculation Methodology for Determining the Value of a Property

	7	Table 2					
Detail of the Proposed Criterion							
No	Certification of the building	The percentage of achievement criterion					
	criteria for analysis of real						
	estate property						
1	The construction book with	Correct	Partially	Does not			
	all the chapters		correct	exist			
1.1	The design documentation	$P_{1.1} = 100\%$	$P_{1.1} = 50\%$	$P_{1.1} = 0\%$			
1.2	The execution documentation	$P_{1.2} = 100\%$	$P_{1.2} = 50\%$	$P_{1,2} = 0\%$			
1.3	The documentation regarding the reception	$P_{1.3} = 100\%$	$P_{1.3} = 50\%$	$P_{1.3} = 0\%$			
1.4	The documentation regarding the operation, maintenance, repair and follow up of the construction	<i>P</i> _{1.4} = 100%	P _{1.4} = 50%	<i>P</i> _{1.4} = 0%			
			$\Sigma P_{1,1} = \%$				
2	The age of the building and	P ₂ =% S	tandard of the ex	ecution of			
	remaining life	maintenace and repairs to builfings and					
		special constructions. Indicativ GE032-97					
3	The sustainability of the	P ₃ =% HG no. 2319/30.11.2004 Catalogue					
	structural frame of the	rules on classification and duration of fixed					
	building	assets					
	- concrete, metal, wood						
4	The energy certification of	P_4 =% Depending on the energy performance					
	the building	of the build	ings are classified	l into 7 classes			
		on a energetic scale from class A					
		characterizes by the lowest energy					
		consumption by 125 kWh/sqm/year to class					
		G appropriate the highest specific energy					
		820 kWh/sgm/year					
5	The existence of that real	Exists	Partially	Does not			
	estate cadastre	$P_5 = 100\%$	exists	exist			
		ž	$P_5 = 50\%$	$P_5 = 0\%$			
6	The compliance with the	Totally	Partially	Not complied			
	building permit	$P_6 = 100\%$	$P_6 = 50\%$	$P_6 = 0\%$			
	TOTAL		$\Sigma P_i = \%$				

3.1. Example of Documents to be Analyzed on the Execution of Concrete Structures (see item 1.2 of Table 2 – The execution documentation)

Concrete						
Checked item						
The check	Mis	Observed	Require	The date	Who	Score
/the document	conduct	mis	ment	of the	attended	obtained
	under rule	conduct	met	check and	the	when the
				of the	verification	requirement
				document	(name)	had been met
Check hardened			Yes			10
concrete (sampling)						
NE 012/2-2010, Annex						
H-at least one sample						
for each concrete type,						
lot, on change,						
minimum 100 mc						
(≤C16/20)/50 mc						
(>C16/20)						
a) Sampling Minutes			Yes		Builder,	10
					engineer,	
					analyst	
b) Evidence of			Yes		Builder,	10
transmission docket					analyst	
c) Results of			Yes		Chief	10
laboratory tests carried					analyst,	
out on samples					analyst	
Book of concrete			Yes		Builder,	10
completed to date						
according to Norm						
C56/85 and NE 012/2-						
2010						
Minutes for quality			Yes		Builder,	10
reception prepared					engineer,	
after stripping which						
must contain according						
to pct. 2.7 chapter I,						
book V from norm						
C56/85						

Table 3Document Verification of Concrete

Concrete						
Checked item						
The check /the document	Mis conduct under rule	Observed mis conduct	Require ment met	The date of the check and of the document	Who attended the verification (name)	Score obtained when the requirement had been met
a) The apparence of elements to signal areas that are encountering inappropiate concrete (concrete loose, segregated foals, concrete joints)			Yes			10
b) cross-section dimensions of the elements			Yes			10
c) distances between different elements			Yes			10
d) position of vertical elements (columns, diaphragm walls in relation to the proper level immediately located)			Yes			10
e) position of valves that will be embedded in elements that are then poured			Yes			10
f) dips crossing position			Yes			10

Table 3
Continuation

Note (arithmetic mean of all criteria of the table)

10

Conclusions depending on the note obtained: the concrete poured in this item meets the requirements, proceed to the next stage.

Reinforcements						
Checked item						
The check	Mis	Observed	Require	The date	Who	Score
/the document	conduct	mis	ment met	of the	attended	obtained
	under	conduct		check and	the	when the
	rule			of the	verification	requirement
				document	(name)	had been met
They were presented			Yes		Builder,	10
documentary evidence					Engineer,	
and traceability features					Designer	
of reinforcements					U	
according to Norm						
C56/85 and NE 012/2-						
2010						
Proof that quality			Yes		Builder,	10
determination made by					Engineer,	
the builder/processor:					Designer	
traction, simple bending,						
bending-unbend						
(laboratory tests) for						
reinforcements - three						
specimens/lot/fiameter						
according to Norm NE						
012/2-2010, pct. 8.1.7						
Acceptance protocol of			Yes		Builder,	10
fitted reinforcements with					Engineer,	
reference to procedures,					Designer	
record, traceability, staff						
qualification, treatment						
of nonconformities						
according to Norm						
012/2-2010, pct. 8.5.6						
and 8.6						
On completion of			Yes		Builder,	10
mounting reinforcements					Engineer,	
will be recorded in the					Designer	
minutes of the findings of						
checks made on						

 Table 4

 Document Verification Reinforcement of the Building Structure

Continuation						
Reinforcements						
Checked item						
The check	Mis	Observed	Require	The date	Who	Score
/the document	conduct	mis	ment met	of the	attended	obtained
	under	conduct		check and	the	when the
	rule			of the	verification	requirement
				document	(name)	had been met
a) number, diameter and			Yes		Builder,	10
position of valves in					Engineer,	
various cross-sections					Designer	
according to Norm						
C56/85 book V, chapter						
I, pct. 2.4						
b) distance between			Yes		Builder,	10
stirrups, their diameter					Engineer,	
and attachment					Designer	
c) lengh exceedung			Yes		Builder,	10
portions of the roof					Engineer,	
supports					Designer	
d) quality of welds			Yes		Builder,	10
					Engineer,	
					Designer	
e) number and quality if			Yes		Builder,	10
links between bars					Engineer,	
					Designer	
f) devices to maintain the			Yes		Builder,	10
position of reinforcement					Engineer,	
during concreting					Designer	
g) how to ensure the			Yes		Builder,	10
concrete cober thickness					Engineer,	
and dimensions					Designer	
h) position, attachement			Yes		Builder,	10
and size of embedded					Engineer,	
poeselor					Designer	

Table 4

Note (arithmetic mean of all criteria of the table) 10 Conclusions depending on the note obtained: the reinforcements meet the requirements, proceed to the next stage.

4. Conclusions

Estimating the value of a building should be a process in which to examine several issues related to that building. The simple application of one single method for estimating the value is not accurate enough.Estimating the value of a house is not just a transaction that takes place at some point in time, but it should be a wider process and the analysis should be done throughout the lifetime of the building from conception in draft form until the use in the post use period. The proposed methodology for calculating ensures this framework to analyze a building through the conception, execution, durability, life period remained in office and thus certifying the building quality.

REFERENCES

- Bărbuță M., Rujanu M., Nicuță A.M., Characterization of Polymer Concrete with Different Wastes Additions, 9th Internat. Conf. on Interdisciplinarity in Engng. (INTER-ENG), Tîrgu Mureş, 2015, Book Series: Procedia Technology, 22, 407-412, published: 2016.
- Lăzărescu C.D., Theodoru S., Burlacu A., *The Impact of the Vea Applications upon the Innovation and New Product Development and the Sustainable Development Concept*, Manag. of Technol. Changes, Book 1, 4th Internat. Conf. on Manag. of Technological Changes, Chania, GREECE, 2005, 271-274.
- Nicuță A.M., Life Cycle Impact Assessment of Asphalt Pavements in the Context of Technological Change, 7th Internat. Conf. on Manag. of Technological Changes, Alexandroupolis, Greece, 2011, book 2, 97-100, published: 2011.
- Şerbănoiu A.A., *The C-Mat the Database for the Construction Materials*, Bul. Inst. Politehnic, Iași, s. Construction. Architecture, **LXI (LXV)**, 4 (2015).
- Şerbănoiu A.A., Groll L., Calculation of Wear Complex of Residential Buildings/163 PAG, The Academic Society "Matei-Teiu Botez" Publishing, Iași, 2007.
- Tofan B.A., Şerbănoiu I., Burlacu A., *Environmental and Financial Assessment for a CCHP District Plant in a City in Romania*, Bul. Inst. Politehnic, Iași, **LXI** (**LXV**), 4, s. Construction. Architecture, 147-156 (2015).
- * *Evaluarea proprietăților imobiliare*. Anevar, anul apariției 2014.
- ** * Evaluarea pentru impozitare a clădirilor conform noului cod fiscal, 2016.

ESTIMAREA VALORII REALE A CONSTRUCȚIILOR DIN BETON ARMAT

(Rezumat)

Estimarea valorii de piață a clădirilor pe o piață imobiliară slab reglementată și lăsată la voia evaluatorilor care la bază nu sunt ingineri constructori are ca rezultat valori inexacte. O evaluare corectă este imposibilă fără o inspecție a documentelor

întocmite de-a lungul ciclului de viață din momentul proiectării până la momentul dezafectării imobilului. Această analiză trebuie realizată de o persoană cu o calificare profesională adecvată. Prin metodologia de calcul propusă prin acest studiu de estimare a valorii se aduce un real ajutor în determinarea corectă a valorii unui imobil.